

# HIGH VOLTAGE POWER MANAGEMENT UNIT ARCHITECTURE IN CMOS PROCESS

Inventor: Chun-Ying Chen  
Hsiang-bin Lee

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention relates to power management units for portable applications, and more particularly to high efficiency, low loss power management units.

### Description of the Related Art

[0002] Power management in portable electronic systems, such as cellular phone, portable PDAs, laptops, etc. is an important issue, as consumers increasingly demand longer times between recharging. For example, a cellular phones typically has three power sources: a rechargeable main battery, a small coin-sized backup battery, and a line charger that can be plugged into a wall outlet or a car outlet. Typical main battery voltage is between about 3.3 volts and 4.6 volts. Typical charger voltage is 5-20V.

[0003] Power management units (PMUs) are often manufactured using non-standard (i.e., high voltage) CMOS processes or using bi-polar. In the case of CMOS PMUs, power efficiency and the breakdown voltage of the CMOS devices are important parameters to consider. For 0.35 micron manufacturing technology, the typical breakdown voltage of the CMOS devices is approximately 3.3 volts. As feature size decreases, the breakdown voltage of the CMOS device also decreases. However, the battery voltage, or some other operational power source (e.g., the line charger), normally has a higher voltage than the breakdown voltage. Therefore, the battery voltage needs to be regulated down to 3.3 volts so as to be suitable for use by the power management unit and the rest of the circuitry.

[0004] Conventional alternatives for managing the breakdown voltage issue include the use of bipolar technology, or the use of special (high-voltage)

CMOS devices. However, the use of bipolar technology presents difficulties with integrating the bipolar elements with other CMOS circuit elements. Thus, it is desirable to use low voltage CMOS devices to implement high voltage power management.

[0005] If only CMOS devices are used, the breakdown problem could be overcome by the use of several CMOS devices. For example, a number of CMOS devices could be cascaded in order to share the voltage drop to avoid breakdown in each device. The drawback of such an approach is an increase in power dissipation because the whole branch cannot be powered down. In particular, if every circuit has all the functionality of breakdown protection, the power dissipation is significantly increased. This is particularly a problem in the OFF mode, where the cascoded CMOS devices dissipate power even while the rest of the circuitry is "asleep." In other words, there is a constant current flow to the CMOS devices whose sole purpose is breakdown prevention. This decreases the life of the main battery, which is an important concern in portable applications, such as cellular phones.

[0006] Accordingly, what is needed is a power management unit that provides a high efficiency both during operation and when the circuitry is off, and which is compatible with existing CMOS processes.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0007] The present invention is directed to a high voltage power management unit architecture in cmos process for use in portable applications that substantially obviates one or more of the problems and disadvantages of the related art.

[0008] There is provided a voltage regulator circuit including a high voltage regulator capable of receiving an external high voltage supply and capable of outputting an intermediate supply voltage. A plurality of parallel low voltage regulators are capable of receiving the intermediate supply voltage and

capable of outputting a regulated output voltage. The intermediate supply voltage is no higher than a breakdown voltage of the low voltage regulators.

[0009] In another aspect there is provided a voltage regulator circuit including a single high voltage regulator, and a plurality of parallel low voltage regulators capable of receiving an intermediate voltage from the high-voltage regulator, and capable of outputting a regulated output voltage. The intermediate voltage is no higher than a breakdown voltage of the low voltage regulators.

[0010] In another aspect there is provided a voltage regulator including a first stage capable of receiving a reference voltage and capable of having a first current flowing through the first stage. A second stage is capable of having a second current flowing through the second stage. A third stage is capable of outputting an output voltage and capable of having a third current flowing through the second stage. The first, second and third currents are proportional to each other throughout a range of operation of the voltage regulator between substantially zero output current and maximum output current. The first stage drives the second stage as a low input impedance load.

In another aspect there is provided a power supply multiplexing circuit including a first supply voltage input. A first pair of cascoded PMOS transistors are in series with the first supply voltage input. A first native NMOS transistor is in series with the first pair of cascoded PMOS transistors. Also, a second supply voltage input and a second pair of cascoded PMOS transistors are in series with the second supply voltage input; and a second native NMOS transistor in series with the second pair of cascoded PMOS transistors. The gates of the first and second native NMOS transistors are driven by two control signals out of phase with each other, and sources of the first and second native NMOS transistors are connected together to output an output voltage.

[0011] Additional features and advantages of the invention will be set forth in the description that follows. Yet further features and advantages will be apparent to a person skilled in the art based on the description set forth herein

or may be learned by practice of the invention. The advantages of the invention will be realized and attained by the structure particularly pointed out in the written description and claims hereof as well as the appended drawings.

[0012] It is to be understood that both the foregoing general description and the following detailed description are exemplary and explanatory and are intended to provide further explanation of the invention as claimed.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS/FIGS.

[0013] The accompanying drawings, which are included to provide a further understanding of the exemplary embodiments of the invention and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate embodiments of the invention and together with the description serve to explain the principles of the invention. In the drawings:

[0014] **FIG. 1** illustrates a voltage regulator arrangement of the present invention.

[0015] **FIG. 2** represents a starting point for designing the low voltage regulator of **FIG. 1**.

[0016] **FIG. 3** illustrates characteristics of the circuit of **FIG. 2** in graphical form.

[0017] **FIG. 4** shows the circuit of **FIG. 2** with a pole **P5** added.

[0018] **FIG. 5** illustrates the effect the addition of the pole **P5** on the phase margin of the circuit of **FIG. 2**.

[0019] **FIG. 6** shows the circuit of **FIG. 5** with "trickle current" circuitry added.

[0020] **FIG. 7** illustrates the addition of a switch for low current operation.

[0021] **FIG. 8** illustrates conversion of the low voltage regulator of **FIG. 7** into a high voltage low dropout regulator.

[0022] **FIG. 9** shows the drop-out voltage performance of the voltage regulators of **FIGs. 7** and **8**.

- [0023] FIG. 10 shows the phase margin and open loop gain of the circuit of FIG. 7 as a function of frequency.
- [0024] FIG. 11 shows performance relating to a power supply rejection ratio (PSRR).
- [0025] FIG. 12 shows the line step response of the low voltage regulator of FIG. 7.
- [0026] FIG. 13 illustrates the change in the output voltage as a function of change in the supply voltage.
- [0027] FIG. 14 illustrates the output voltage  $V_{out}$  as a function of the output current  $I_{out}$ . This figure shows that for a relatively large change in  $I_{out}$ , the output voltage  $V_{out}$  remains relatively steady.
- [0028] FIG. 15 illustrates the turn-on response of the low voltage regulator of FIG. 7.
- [0029] FIG. 16 is an illustration of the total power consumption as a function of current of the low voltage regulator of FIG. 7.
- [0030] FIG. 17 illustrates simulated performance of the high voltage regulator of FIG. 8 with regard to the drop-out voltage.
- [0031] FIG. 18 compares conventional voltage regulators and the voltage regulator of the present invention.
- [0032] FIG. 19 shows a high-efficiency circuit is used as a multiplexer to select different power sources.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

- [0033] Reference will now be made in detail to the embodiments of the present invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings.
- [0034] FIG. 1 illustrates a voltage regulator arrangement of the present invention. As shown in FIG. 1, a CMOS voltage regulator chip 101 has an external high voltage supply as an input. The external high voltage supply may be a main battery, typically with a maximum output voltage of about 3.3-

4.6 volts, or a line charger input (5-20V). The output voltage range of 3.3-4.6 volts is typical for lithium ion type batteries. The regulator chip **101** includes one high voltage low dropout (HVLDO) regulator **102** (hereafter, sometimes referred to as “high voltage regulator”), outputting a voltage **VDD\_INT**, which is at or below the breakdown voltage of the downstream CMOS devices.

[0035] In series with the high voltage low dropout regulator **102** are a plurality of low voltage low dropout regulators (LVLDO's) **103A-103D** (hereafter, sometimes referred to as “low voltage regulators”), arranged in parallel, such that the low voltage regulators **103** are protected from breakdown voltage issues. In this manner, because only a single high voltage regulator **102** is used, the amount of power dissipated due to breakdown protection is minimized. Thus, only one circuit (i.e. high voltage regulator **102**) deals with the breakdown issues. The low voltage regulators **103** can be powered down completely when the cell phone is turned off. Also, the design issues are considerably simplified, since only a single high voltage regulator **102** is necessary. The high voltage regulator **102** outputs an intermediate voltage of **VDD\_INT**. For example, **VDD\_INT** can be 3.3V, or even lower.

[0036] Thus, the voltage drop across the high voltage regulator **102** is up to approximately 1.5 volts, depending on the charge in the main battery. The advantage of the architecture shown in **FIG. 1** is that the low voltage regulators **103** can be turned off completely when not in use, without concern about the breakdown issues.

[0037] Thus, instead of using multiple high voltage regulators, the architecture in **FIG. 1** uses a single high voltage regulator **102** cascoded with a plurality of low voltage regulators **103**. One advantage of the present invention is that standard CMOS devices can be used, without resorting to either high-voltage CMOS devices or the use of bipolar transistors.

[0038] With reference to the low voltage regulator **103**, operational amplifiers (opamps) are frequently used, however, in order to maintain stability, they frequently need to draw a lot of current. Thus, in order to improve the overall

efficiency of the power management unit and extend the life of the main battery, it is necessary to reduce the amount of current drawn by opamp circuits in the low voltage regulator 103. This process, discussed in detail below, may be referred to as "adaptive biasing." Through the use of adaptive biasing, in the ideal case, the current drawn by the opamp would be proportional to the output current of the regulator. For example, the ratio could be 1%, i.e., the current consumed by the opamp is 1:100 compared to the output current of the low voltage regulator 103. Thus, if the low voltage regulator 103 supplies 1 milliamp of current, its opamp would consume about 10 microamps.

[0039] FIG. 2 represents a starting point for designing the low voltage regulator 103 of FIG. 1. As may be seen in FIG. 2, the load is modeled by an inductor **L0**, a resistor **R0** and capacitor **C0**. The transistor **M0** is usually referred to as a "pass transistor," i.e., it passes current from a supply voltage source, for example, **VDD**, to the load. A transistor **M13** is a mirror transistor for **M0**, since the gates of both transistors **M0** and **M13** are driven by the same voltage, designated  $V_{pbias}$  in FIG. 2. A resistor divider, composed of resistors **R1** and **R2**, is used as a feedback stage. Transistors **M9** and **M11** are input transistors, and together form an amplifier 204. The feedback voltage  $V_{fb}$  is compared to the input voltage  $V_{ref}$ . If the voltage  $V_{fb}$  is not equal the voltage  $V_{ref}$ , the voltage on the gate of the pass transistor **M0** is adjusted.

[0040] For example, if the voltage  $V_{fb}$  is too low, the voltage on the gate of the transistor **M0** is adjusted to make the output voltage  $V_{out}$  increase. Thus, the circuit keeps the feedback voltage  $V_{fb}$  the same as the input voltage  $V_{ref}$ . The output voltage  $V_{out}$  is therefore constant.

[0041] As may be seen in FIG. 2, the voltage regulator circuit has a first stage 201, a second stage 202, and a third stage 203. The first stage 201 includes a tail current source transistor **M12**, and four transistors **M9**, **M11**, **M4** and **M10** that form a differential amplifier. Thus, the first stage 201 may be referred to as a differential amplifier 201. The first stage 201 also includes two load transistors **M10**, **M4**. The drain of the transistor **M10** is tied to its gate, and

the gates of the transistors **M10**, **M4** are connected to each other. **M12** is a current source for the amplifier **201** of the first stage **201**.

[0042] **M14** is a current source amplifier, with a transistor **M13** acting as a diode load. Thus, the second stage **202** may be called "a common source amplifier with a diode load."

[0043] The voltage  $V_{op0}$  is the output of the first amplifier stage **201**. The output of the second stage **202** is the  $V_{pbias}$ . The voltage  $V_{pbias}$  adjusts the current  $I_{out}$  passed through the transistor **M0** voltage. Thus, the output loading has a fixed voltage. If **R1** is equal to **R2**, then the voltage  $V_{nb}$  is equal to half of the output voltage  $V_{out}$ . When the circuit of **FIG. 2** is stable,  $V_{nb}$  should be equal to  $V_{ref}$ . Therefore, the output voltage  $V_{out}$  should be equal to two times the voltage  $V_{ref}$ .

[0044] **M10** and **M4** function as a load for the amplifier formed by **M9** and **M11**. The first stage **201** drives a relatively small load, because the transistor **M14** is relatively small, and has a small parasitic capacitance. The first stage **201** has a high impedance output. Therefore, it cannot drive a high capacitance load. The second stage **202** has a low impedance output to drive the third stage **203**. The second stage **202** also has low input capacitance. Thus, the first stage **201** can drive the second stage **202** easily. Also, the second stage **202** has a low impedance output. This enables it to drive a large capacitance load represented by the third stage **203**. Also, the second stage **202** is necessary to enable current proportionality between  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_{out}$ .

[0045] The circuit shown in **FIG. 2** may be called an adaptive bias circuit because the transistors **M13** and **M0** act as current mirrors. In other words, the currents  $I_2$  and  $I_{out}$  through the transistors **M13** and **M0**, respectively, have a certain ratio. As an example, in **FIG. 2**, the  $I_{out}/I_2$  current ratio is 1,000:8 (the downward arrows indicate the direction of the current flow in **FIG. 2**). Thus, in this case, if the output current is 1000 microamps, the current  $I_2$  through the transistor **M13** is 8 microamps. The  $I_{out}/I_2$  ratio itself, in this case 1,000:8 generally depends on device characteristics and topology. The higher the number associated with the second stage **202**, the higher the current



consumption by the regulator 103. Therefore, a smaller ratio  $I_{out}/I_2$  is desirable.

[0046] However, as the current  $I_2$  associated with the second stage 202 gets smaller, the regulator 103 begins to lose stability. Thus, a ratio of approximately 1,000:8 is roughly optimal. In other words, the ratio is chosen such that the current  $I_2$  through the second stage 202 is low enough, but the regulator circuit is still stable. By the same logic, with 1,000 microamps going through M0, two microamps ( $I_1$ ) are going through the transistor M12 of the first stage 201. Generally, the ratios are determined by the sizes of the transistors involved. Thus, it is desirable to minimize the ratio, but the lower limit on the ratio is determined by closed loop stability considerations.

[0047] In the circuit of FIG. 2, the power consumption of the amplifier 201 is entirely dependent on the output current  $I_{out}$ . When the load is not consuming any power, the amplifier 201 also will not consume any power. Compared to the situation where there is a steady current flow through the first stage 201, this approach is more power-efficient.

[0048] Compared to a conventional two-stage voltage regulator, the addition of the second stage 202 improves the stability of the overall low voltage regulator 103. In a conventional two-stage regulator, the output voltage  $V_{op0}$  of the first stage drives M0, and sees a very high impedance. M0, in a conventional circuit, is typically very large (to minimize its series resistance and headroom), and has a large parasitic capacitance. In terms of a pole-zero diagram, its pole is very low, due to the high impedance and the high capacitance. The dominant pole in the circuit of FIG. 2 is the pole P1.

[0049] The pole zero equations for the circuit of FIG. 2 are as follows:

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{R_0 C_0} \propto I_{out}$$

$$P_2 = \frac{1}{R_2 C_{fb}}$$

$$P_3 = \frac{1}{R_4 C_{opo}} \propto I_{out}$$

$$P_4 = \frac{gm_{13}}{C_{pbias}} \propto \sqrt{I_{out}} \quad \text{or} \quad \propto I_{out} \quad (\text{weak inversion})$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{R_{ESR} C_0}$$

[0050] **P1, P3, P4** are tracking with **I<sub>out</sub>** (i.e., are all proportional to **I<sub>out</sub>**). **P2** and **Z1** are fixed and close to each other. **R<sub>ESR</sub>** includes series resistance, such as bond wire, packaging, board trace, capacitor ESR, etc. **R<sub>ESR</sub>** is typically about 0.9 ohm.

[0051] In a conventional voltage regulator circuit, the first stage **201** directly drives the third stage **203** so there is no middle stage **202**. The output impedance of **M4** and **M11** is inversely proportional to output current **I<sub>out</sub>**. Thus, the first stage **201** needs to drive more current in order to reduce the output impedance of **M4**. Thus, a conventional regulator circuit requires driving more current through the first stage **201**. This pushes the pole **P3** further out from the output load pole **P1**. The disadvantage of such an approach is that it consumes more power.

[0052] In other words, without the second stage **202**, making the regulator circuit more stable requires consuming more power. Adding the second stage **202** therefore helps, due to the small size of **M14**. The second stage **202** has a pole **P4**, however, the impedance of the second stage **202** is low, and it is able to drive a large load. Its impedance is therefore  $\frac{1}{gm_{13}}$ , **gm<sub>13</sub>** being the transconductance of **M13**. However, because of **P3**, the output impedance of the amplifier **201** is still high (the high impedance due to **M11** and **M4**). **M14**, however, is a relatively small transistor, since it is not used to drive a load.

Since **M14** is small, its parasitic capacitance is small as well. Thus, the pole due to the  $\frac{1}{RC}$  of the transistor **M14** is very far out in a pole-zero diagram.

[0053] **P3** is also far away from the output load pole **P1**. Thus, as noted above, **P1** is the dominant pole. With **P3** and **P4** being far away from **P1**, this helps stability of the overall circuit. Since the output voltage  $V_{out}$  is a constant, the output resistance is equal to  $V_{out}/I_{out}$ , i.e., the output resistance **R0** is inversely proportional to the output current  $I_{out}$ . Thus, **P1** is proportional to the output current  $I_{out}$ . **P3** is inversely proportional to the output resistance of the transistor **M4**, and also inversely proportional to the parasitic capacitance. However, the output resistance is proportional to the current flowing through **M4**. Because of the current mirror effect, the current flow into **M4** is in proportional to the current flow  $I_{out}$  into the load. Thus, **P3** is also proportional to the output current  $I_{out}$ .

[0054] The pole **P4** is equal to  $gm_{13}$  divided by the capacitance  $C_{pbias}$ . The capacitance  $C_{pbias}$  is approximately constant. However,  $gm_{13}$ , the transconductance of **M13**, is proportional to the square root of the output current  $I_{out}$ . Under certain conditions, it may be directly proportional to the output current  $I_{out}$ . Thus, **P1**, **P3** and **P4** are all "tracking" with  $I_{out}$ , and are therefore all tracking with each other. Since **P1** is not fixed, and depends on operating conditions, without the second stage **202**, **P3** is fixed as well. Thus, the impedance of the first stage **201**, in the conventional regulator circuit, has to be designed for the worst case scenario -- in other words, it has to consume a lot of current. On the other hand, with the adaptive biasing approach of **FIG. 2**, the current  $I_1$  through the first stage **201** is always optimized. The pole **P2** of the feedback stage is formed by the two resistors **R1** and **R2**, and the capacitance of **M11** (i.e., the feedback capacitance, which may be designated  $C_b$ ).  $C_b$  is also the input capacitance of **M11**. **P2** is constant, and does not depend on output current  $I_{out}$ .

[0055] For low power design, the resistors **R1** and **R2** should be as large as possible. This way, the current flowing to **R1** and **R2** is small, saving power.

However, making **R1** and **R2** very large results in a pole **P2** that is very low. If **P2** is close to **P1**, this affects stability of the circuit.

[0056] The solution to this is adding a zero to counteract **P2**. This zero is **Z1**, such that  $Z1 = \frac{1}{R_{ESR}C0}$ . The zero **Z1** comes from the load. **C0** is a compensation capacitance, which is usually placed at the output of the regulator. However, the capacitance **C0** is not ideal, and the usual has a certain resistance **RESR**. **RESR** is known as effective series resistance, or may be referred to as a parasitic series resistance. Thus, the resistance **RESR**, in series with the capacitance **C0**, forms the zero **Z1**. If the zero **Z1** is placed close to the pole **P2**, then they will cancel each other out. Thus, effectively, the circuit only has the poles **P1**, **P3** and **P4**.

[0057] With **P3** and **P4** being far away from **P1**, the voltage regulator 103 will be stable, as the following example demonstrates.

[0058] For **C0** = 1  $\mu$ F and **R0** = 2 Mohm to 24 Mohm (**V<sub>out</sub>** = 1.2V and **I<sub>out</sub>** = 0 to 50 mA), **P1** varies from 0.08 Hz to 6.6 KHz.

[0059] **P2** ~ = 450 KHz, **Z1** = 320 KHz (for **RESR** = 500 Mohm) (i.e., close to **P2**). **P3** and **P4** are 3 orders of magnitude higher than **P1** (tracking).

[0060] The example above confirms that the circuit of **FIG. 2** is stable.

[0061] **FIG. 3** illustrates characteristics of the circuit of **FIG. 2** in graphical form. In the upper right graph, the graph designated by A shows the open loop gain of the regulator 102. The graph designated by B shows the phase margin (PM) of the voltage regulator 103. In the bottom right curve, the positions of the poles **P1**, **P2**, **P3**, **P4** and zero **Z1** are shown, as a function of the output current **I<sub>out</sub>**.

[0062] In the upper right graph, which shows the phase margin (PM), the curve designated by A shows that the phase margin is always greater than 60 degrees, which is good for stability. Curve B is the DC gain of the entire loop. Also, in **FIG. 3**, the lower left hand graph shows the DC gain of the first stage 201 of **FIG. 2** (curve C), of the second stage 202 (curve D) and the third stage (curve E). The upper left hand graph shows the ground pin current **I<sub>gndpin</sub>** (i.e.,

the total current consumed by the regulator, roughly 1% of  $I_{out}$ ) on the Y axis as a function of  $I_{out}$ .

[0063] Further with reference to **FIG. 2**, the circuit illustrated therein still has a number of problems. The first problem is the positive feedback between the first stage **201** and the second stage **202**. The existence of the positive feedback has an undesirable effect on the phase margin. Generally, more power would be needed to fix this problem.

[0064] A better solution to this problem is the addition of another pole (called **P5**) in **FIG. 4**. The addition of the pole **P5** is accomplished by adding the resistor **R3** and the capacitor **C1**, as shown in **FIG. 4**. The pole **P5** attenuates any AC signals that may be present due to the positive feedback effect between the second stage **202** and the first stage **201**. The equations below show the analysis for the open loop gain of the circuit of **FIG. 4**.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Gain} &= -\frac{\frac{gm_{14}}{gm_{13}} \cdot gm_0 R_0 \cdot \frac{R2}{R1+R2} \cdot gm_{33} R4}{(1+\frac{s}{p_1})(1+\frac{s}{p_2})(1+\frac{s}{p_3})(1+\frac{s}{p_4})} + \frac{\frac{gm_{14}}{gm_{13}} \cdot \frac{gm_{12}}{2gm_4}}{(1+\frac{s}{p_3})(1+\frac{s}{p_4})} \\
 &= \frac{-(A_{DC}-1/2) \left[ 1 - \frac{s}{(p_1+p_2) \cdot (A_{DC}-1/2)} \right] + \frac{s^2}{p_1 p_2}}{(1+\frac{s}{p_1})(1+\frac{s}{p_2})(1+\frac{s}{p_3})(1+\frac{s}{p_4})} \\
 &\cong -\frac{\frac{gm_{14}}{gm_{13}} \cdot gm_0 R_0 \cdot \frac{R2}{R1+R2} \cdot gm_{33} R4}{(1+\frac{s}{p_1})(1+\frac{s}{p_2})(1+\frac{s}{p_3})}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{gm_{14}}{gm_4} = \frac{gm_{13}}{gm_{12}}$$

$$A_{DC} = \frac{gm_{14}}{gm_{13}} \cdot gm_0 R_0 \cdot \frac{R2}{R1+R2} \cdot gm_{33} R4$$

$$C_{\rho} = \text{input capacitance of M11}$$

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{R0C0}$$

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{R2C_{\rho}}$$

$$p_3 = \frac{1}{R4C_{opo}}$$

$$p_4 = \frac{gm_{13}}{C_{pbias}}$$

$$\text{where } p_5 \ll A_{DC} \cdot p_1$$

$C_{opo}$  in the equations above is the total capacitance of that node.  $C_{opo}$  is the capacitance at the node  $V_{opo}$ , i.e., the parasitic capacitance seen at that node due to the transistors **M14**, **M4** and **M11**.

[0065] **FIG. 5** illustrates the effect the addition of the pole **P5** on the phase margin. The right-hand-plane zero is at  $(P_1 + P_2) (A_{DC}-1/2)$ , which hurts stability. As may be seen in **FIG. 5**, without the pole **P5**, the phase margin is only  $50^\circ$  (too low for stable operation), while with the pole **P5**, the phase margin is  $80^\circ$  (more than adequate for stability).

[0066] Another problem with the circuit of **FIG. 2** is that when the output current  $I_{out}$  is zero, the currents  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  through the first and second stages **201**, **202**, are also zero. This is a problem because regulating the output voltage

$V_{out}$  is not possible in that case. In other words, if the current  $I_{out}$  is zero, the rest of the circuit starts floating.

[0067] The solution to this problem is the addition of "trickle" current to stages **201** and **202**. This trickle current needs to be just large enough to make the rest of the circuit work when  $I_{out} = 0$ , but can be small enough so as to consume very little power. The above solution is illustrated in a circuit of **FIG. 6**.

[0068] In **FIG. 6**, the addition of a resistor **R4** provides current in the branch of the second stage **202**, even when the transistor **M13** is shut off. Typical current through **R4** is on the order of 1 microamp. For the first stage **201**, the trickle current is provided by the transistors **M39** and **M40**. The transistor **M40** may be referred to as a trickle current source, that provides a very small trickle current for low output current  $I_{out}$  operation. This trickle current is also very small. The reason that the resistor **R4** is used, instead of a current source with a transistor, is so that the pole **P4** does not see a high impedance. Thus, **R4** provides a "low impedance load", compared to a current source, so as to push **P4** away when  $gm_{13}$  is too low. This avoids having the pole **P4** become low, and causing stability problems. In other words, the presence of **R4** pushes **P4** away from **P1**.

[0069] However, the circuit of **FIG. 6** still has a problem as follows: when **VDD** is close to  $V_{out}$ , **M13** and **M0** go into their triode regions. **M13** no longer tracks to **M0**, and large current flows through **M13** to take **M0** into its triode region, even when  $I_{out}$  is small.

[0070] In this situation, the transistor **M0** no longer stays in its saturation region. Rather, it operates in a so called "triode region." When  $V_{out}$  is equal to **VDD**,  $V_{pbias}$  tries to pull low, so that there is low resistance. In the triode region of operation, the current of **M13** no longer tracks the output current  $I_{out}$ . Another way of looking at this is that current mirrors **M13**, **M0** only should operate in their saturation regions. Unless this problem is resolved, there will be a large leakage current to the ground.

[0071] In FIG. 6, when  $V_{pbias}$  goes low, a high current  $I_2$  wants to flow through **M13**. For low power designs, it is undesirable to have large currents flowing through **M13** even when the output current  $I_{out}$  is low. The solution, therefore, is to shut off **M13** when **M0** is operating in the triode region. In other words, the solution is to shut off **M13** by forcing the voltage on the drain of **M13** equal to  $V_{out}$ .

[0072] This is accomplished by the addition of a switch, which is illustrated in FIG. 7 as the transistor **M31**. An opamp 701 compares the output voltage  $V_{out}$  with the reference voltage  $V_{ref}$ . Here, the voltage  $V_x$  serves as a proxy for the output voltage  $V_{out}$ . This is accomplished by having  $R1 = R5$ , and  $R2 = R6$ . The switch **M31** forces  $V_x$  to be equal to the output voltage  $V_{out}$ . When  $V_x$  is close to  $VDD$ , the current through **M31** is shut off. The current flow through **R5** and **R6** is very small, compared to the current flow through **M13** in the absence of the amplifier 801, because the resistances **R5** and **R6** are very high compared to the source-drain resistance of **M13**. Also, the opamp 701 applies its output voltage to the switch **M33** for the first branch for the same reason. This has a number of benefits:

[0073] For low power consumption, with a “high” resistor **R4**, the  $gm_{14} \times R4$  gain is large, thus only a small current is required to make **M0** go into deep triode region. Only 10  $\mu A$  sustaining current (i.e., ground pin current  $I_{gndpin}$ ) is needed for  $I_{out} = 0$  to 50 mA.

[0074] Also, a very low drop-out voltage is achieved: high gain at the second stage 202 due to high  $gm_{14} \times R4$ .  $V_{pbias}$  is pulled down to a very low value. Drop-out voltage is only 14 mV when  $I_{out} = 50$  mA.

[0075] FIG. 8 illustrates how the low voltage regulator 103 of FIG. 7 can be converted into the high voltage low dropout regulator 102 of FIG. 1. As shown in FIG. 8, this is accomplished through the addition of an NMOS transistor **M26**, located between the transistors **M14** and **M31**. The gate of the transistor **M26** is driven by a suitable bias voltage  $V_H$ , typically approximately half of  $VDD$ . The bias voltage  $V_H$  needs to be high enough to prevent a breakdown. It may be derived, for example, from a resistor divider network



(not shown) in **FIG. 8**. The bias voltage  $V_H$  should be higher than the threshold voltage  $V_t$  of the NMOS transistor **M26** plus the saturation voltage  $V_{dsat}$  (sometimes referred to as headroom), of the NMOS transistor **M14**. It also has to be less than the breakdown voltage of the gate oxide of **M26**. For example, if **M26** is a 3.3 volt breakdown device, then the bias voltage  $V_H$  needs to be less than 3.3 volts. Nonetheless, it needs to be high enough to turn the transistor **M26** on. Also, for the high voltage regulator **103**, the substrate of every PMOS transistor is tied to their sources. Thus, the addition of the transistor **M26** converts the low voltage regulator **103** of **FIG. 7** into the high voltage regulator **102**. Note also that the transistors **M9** and **M11** can have their sources and substrates tied together for protection from breakdown.

[0076] Drop-out voltage is the input to output differential voltage at which the circuit ceases to regulate against further reductions in input voltage **VDD**. This point occurs when the input voltage **VDD** approaches the output voltage  $V_{out}$ . For example, if the voltage regulator is meant to output 3.3 volts, and the input voltage **VDD** is 4 volts, drop-out voltage is not a problem. However, if the supply voltage **VDD** is, for example, 2.5 volts, the voltage regulator obviously cannot output 3.3 volts. Instead, it will output some voltage slightly less than the supply voltage **VDD**. The difference between the output voltage  $V_{out}$  and the supply voltage **VDD** is called the drop-out voltage.

[0077] If the turn-on resistance of **M0** is very low, then the drop-out voltage will be low as well. Since  $V_{pbias}$  is allowed to go low in the circuit of **FIGs. 7** and **8**, the dropout voltage is also low, as low as 14 mV in the present invention. This is also illustrated in the graphs of **FIG. 9**. For comparison, **FIG. 18** lists a number of regulators from other vendors, Texas Instruments, Maxim, and Phillips, showing much larger dropout voltages, e.g., 115 millivolts, 165 millivolts. Thus, the 14 millivolts drop-out of the circuit of **FIGs. 7** or **8** compares extremely favorably with conventional art.

[0078] Note also that the ground pin current (at no load) also compares very favorably (maximum 21 microamps, versus 30 or even 85 microamps for conventional art).

[0079] As may be seen in **FIG. 9**, when the output voltage of the main battery (labeled **vmbat** in **FIG. 9**) is higher than about 3.03 volts, the output voltage  $V_{out}$  of the regulator **102** is a steady 3 volts. However, below 3.03 volts, the output voltage  $V_{out}$  of the regulator is decreasing substantially linearly with the battery voltage **vmbat**. The upper curve in **FIG. 9** shows the dropout voltage, which is approximately 14 millivolts when  $V_{in} \leq V_{out}$ . **FIG. 10** shows the phase margin and open loop gain of the circuit of **FIG. 7** as a function of frequency. In other words, **FIG. 10** illustrates the stability of the circuit. As may be seen from the curve labeled **G** in **FIG. 10**, the phase margin is at least  $60^\circ$  in the relevant region of operation, evidencing a good stability of the regulator.

[0080] Power supply rejection ratio (PSRR), also known as ripple rejection, is a measure of the regulator's ability to prevent the regulated output voltage  $V_{out}$  from fluctuating due to input voltage variations. Normally, the entire frequency spectrum is considered.

[0081] **FIG. 11** shows a number of graphs for different manufacturing process parameters relating to power supply rejection ratio (PSRR), which is a measure of how resistant a regulator is to noise on the power supply. In this case, the PSRR is very good because the opamp **701** has a high gain.

[0082] Transient response, also known as line step response, is the maximum allowable output voltage variation for a load current step change. The transient response is a function of the output capacitor value **C0**, the equivalent series resistance **R<sub>ESR</sub>** of the output capacitor **C0**, the bypass capacitor (**C<sub>B</sub>**) (not shown) that may be added to the output capacitor **C0** to improve the load transient response, and the maximum load current.

[0083] **FIG. 12** shows the line step response of the low voltage regulator **103** of **FIG. 7**. This figure illustrates what happens when **VDD** changes abruptly. In this case, when **VDD**, shown in the upper graph, changes from 3.1 volts to 3.6 volts in 10 microseconds, the output voltage  $V_{out}$  of the regulator changes only by 1.9 millivolts. (See bottom curve in **FIG. 12**).

- [0084] For the curves of **FIG. 12**, two 50 mA LDO regulators were arranged in parallel. Here temperature = 25°C,  $V_{out} = 2.4$  V, **VDD** = 3.1 to 3.6 in 10  $\mu$ sec,  $I_{out} = 71$  mA,  $C_0 = 2$   $\mu$ F,  $R_{ESR} = 500$  Mohm, and  $L(\text{bondwire}) = 9$  nH.  $\Delta V_{out} = 1.9$  mV, i.e., a very small ripple.
- [0085] **FIG. 13** illustrates line regulation, i.e., the change in the output voltage  $V_{out}$  as a function of change in the supply voltage **VDD** (on the X axis). This graph illustrates that there is very little change in the output voltage  $V_{out}$  for a relatively large change in the supply voltage **VDD**.
- [0086] **FIG. 14** illustrates the load regulation, i.e., the output voltage  $V_{out}$  as a function of the output current  $I_{out}$ . This figure shows that for a relatively large change in  $I_{out}$ , the output voltage  $V_{out}$  remains relatively steady.
- [0087] **FIG. 15** illustrates the turn-on time of the voltage regulator **102**. This figure shows that the regulator **102** can turn off and on very fast. It also illustrates that there is very little change in the output voltage  $V_{out}$  when the output current  $I_{out}$  changes.
- [0088] **FIG. 16** is an illustration of the total power consumption as a function of current. The power consumption is a straight line, as expected, with a small offset. The slope of the straight line is approximately 1%, which is quite good for this type of regulator. The offset is due to the trickle current.
- [0089] With reference now to the high voltage regulator **102** of **FIG. 8**, the high voltage regulator **102** cannot be powered down when the rest of the circuit is "asleep," therefore, opportunities for saving power in this circuit are limited. Additionally, low dropout characteristics are also highly desirable in the high voltage regulator **102**. **FIG. 17** illustrates the simulated performance of the high voltage regulator **102** with regard to the drop-out voltage.
- [0090] **FIG. 17** shows the change in the total current consumption of the regulator at maximum current as a function of the change in **VDD**. The current consumption is = 10 mA (= 1% of  $I_{out}$ ). When **VDD** changes, it is desirable to hold the output voltage  $V_{out}$  at 3.3 volts. If **VDD** drops below 3.3 volts, then the output voltage  $V_{out}$  tracks to **VDD**, which is shown in the bottom graph. However, there is some drop-out voltage, which is illustrated in

the middle graph. In other words, the middle graph shows the difference between the input voltage **VDD** and the output voltage **V<sub>out</sub>**. The **I<sub>gndpin</sub>** is 5 $\mu$ a in the drop-out region. The upper graph shows total current consumption by the circuit (not including the output current **I<sub>out</sub>**. The upper graph shows that the current consumption is very small. Particularly, below 3.3 volts, the current consumption is extremely small due to the operation of **M31**.

[0091] **FIG. 18** shows a summary of performance of the circuit of **FIG. 7** (the column labeled **BRCM**) relative to performance of voltage regulators from Texas Instruments, Philips and Maxim. Note that with regard to **FIG. 18**, three generic load drop-out regulators in parallel will result in a maximum output current of 150 milliamps. The output voltage **V<sub>out</sub>** accuracy is limited by the band gap of the semiconductors.

[0092] With reference now to **FIG. 19**, the circuit illustrated therein is used as a multiplexer to select different power sources. For example, in a cellular phone, the various power sources may be the main battery, the recharger, or the backup battery. In **FIG. 19**, two of such possible power sources are designated as **VDD1** and **VDD0**. Thus, the circuit in **FIG. 19** is used as a selector circuit, to select the power source among the various alternatives (in the case of a cellular phone, e.g., the charger, the main battery, and the backup battery).

[0093] In conventional circuits, CMOS switches are used. However, the control voltage needs to be very high. That way, there is no **V<sub>t</sub>** drop between the gate and the source of the NMOS transistor. In other words, if nothing is done, the control voltage will be equal to the source voltage for NMOS. This presents a problem, because the output always has a **V<sub>t</sub>** drop. In other words, to turn on an NMOS transistor, the gate voltage has to be higher than the source voltage by at least **V<sub>t</sub>**. However, the gate voltage in a conventional circuit is equal to **VDD**. Thus, the source voltage will be equal to **VDD - V<sub>t</sub>**. This is undesirable, because it is preferable to have a zero voltage drop across the power selector/multiplexer.

[0094] A charge pump may be used in a conventional circuit in order to pump up the gate voltage to a higher voltage. In this case, the gate voltage is at least  $V_t$  higher than  $V_{DD}$ . Therefore, if the gate voltage is pumped up to a higher level, the  $V_t$  drop no longer presents a problem, and the output voltage is still therefore equal to  $V_{DD}$ . However, such a circuit is more noisy, and consumes more power, because conventional charge pumps require a clock to pump up the voltage. On the other hand, the circuit of **FIG. 19** does not require a clock. This is accomplished through the use of a native NMOS device. Native NMOS devices can be manufactured using standard CMOS processing. A native NMOS device has characteristics of having a slightly negative threshold voltage  $V_t$ . Thus, even though the gate voltage is the same as  $V_{DD}$ , there is no  $V_t$  drop, because  $V_t$  for native NMOS devices is negative.

[0095] However, if an NMOS device is used, it cannot be turned off completely because the  $V_t$  is negative. This causes leakage. The solution to this problem is the addition of four PMOS transistors "on top" of the native NMOS devices. These four transistors are designated **MP0**, **MP1**, **MP2** and **MP3** in **FIG. 19**. The addition of the transistors **MP0-MP3** protects the NMOS devices from leakage. Thus, the circuit illustrated in **FIG. 19** has the advantage of outputting  $V_{DD}$  when it is ON, and no leakage current when it is OFF.

[0096] For example, consider the case of switching the input supply from  $V_{DD1}$  to  $V_{DD0}$ . In this case, assume that  $V_{DD1}$  is 3 volts. In the worse case scenario,  $V_{DD0}$  is inadequate. Thus, in the worst case, if  $V_{DD0}$  is completely discharged, and is at ground potential, the current will leak into  $V_{DD0}$ . In other words, there may be leakage from  $V_{DD1}$  through **MN1** to  $V_{DD0}$ , which occurs because  $V_{DD0}$  is much lower than the output voltage. This causes a reverse current to flow into  $V_{DD0}$ . This is undesirable, since a zero reverse current is preferable, to avoid discharging the battery unnecessarily. The addition of **MP3** and **MP2** in series with **MN1** prevents the reverse current from flowing into  $V_{DD0}$ . The voltage at **V1**, in steady state, is equal to  $|V_t|$  of the native device, which is around 0.1 volts. If **V1** is greater than the threshold

voltage  $|V_t|$ , then **MN1** is shut off. Thus, **V1** will be balanced at about 0.1 volts. Therefore, **MP3** will be turned off as well because  $V_{sel} - V1 > V_{tMP3}$ . **MP2** will also be turned off. Thus, no current flows back to **VDD0**.

[0097] Note that in this case, using a single PMOS transistor in the path, as opposed to two transistors (e.g., both **MP2** and **MP3**) will not work as well, because another leakage path exists. This leakage path goes through the substrate. The substrate in PMOS transistors is N-well. The source and drains are doped P+. If the substrate is lower in potential than the source-drain voltage, then the PN diode, formed by the junctions between the source and the substrate and the drain in the substrate, will be turned on. This, therefore, represents another leakage path. If one of the transistors, for example, **MP2** is removed from the circuit, then **V2** will "merge" into **V1** and since **V1** is approximately 0.1 volts, and **V2** is connected to **VDD0**, the diode will just barely turn on (the 0.1 volt forward biasing). This causes a leakage. A similar analysis applies to removal of **MP3**, rather than **MP2**. In this case, if **V1** is lower than **VDD**, then there is a leakage current from the drain to the substrate. Thus, both transistors **MP2** and **MP3** are necessary to prevent leakage current through the substrate.

#### Conclusion

[0098] While various embodiments of the present invention have been described above, it should be understood that they have been presented by way of example, and not limitation. It will be apparent to persons skilled in the relevant art that various changes in form and detail can be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

[0099] The present invention has been described above with the aid of functional building blocks and method steps illustrating the performance of specified functions and relationships thereof. The boundaries of these functional building blocks and method steps have been arbitrarily defined herein for the convenience of the description. Alternate boundaries can be

defined so long as the specified functions and relationships thereof are appropriately performed. Also, the order of method steps may be rearranged. Any such alternate boundaries are thus within the scope and spirit of the claimed invention. One skilled in the art will recognize that these functional building blocks can be implemented by discrete components, application specific integrated circuits, processors executing appropriate software and the like or any combination thereof. Thus, the breadth and scope of the present invention should not be limited by any of the above-described exemplary embodiments, but should be defined only in accordance with the following claims and their equivalents.